JOC_{Note}

Proline Derived Spirobarbiturates as Highly Effective β -Turn Mimetics Incorporating Polar and Functionalizable Constraint Elements

Luelak Lomlim,[†] Juergen Einsiedel,[†] Frank W. Heinemann,[‡] Karsten Meyer,[‡] and Peter Gmeiner^{*,†}

Department of Chemistry and Pharmacy, Emil Fischer Center, Chair of Medicinal Chemistry, Friedrich Alexander University, Schuhstrasse 19, D-91052 Erlangen, Germany, and Department of Chemistry and Pharmacy, Chair of Inorganic Chemistry, Friedrich Alexander University, Egerlandstrasse 1, D-91058 Erlangen, Germany

gmeiner@pharmazie.uni-erlangen.de

Received November 30, 2007



A practical and efficient synthesis of spirobarbiturates of type **III** is reported when NH acidity of the imide function of the hydrophilic linker element allowed the introduction of different substituents. Structural characterization, which was based on both X-ray crystallography and spectroscopic investigations, indicated type II β -turn formation. Introduction of the molecular scaffold into solid phase peptide synthesis gave rise to spirocyclic neuropeptide analogs.

Since reverse-turn motifs play a crucial role in molecular recognition and signal transduction,¹ the development of privileged scaffolds nucleating turn structures has attracted remarkable interest.² Upon introduction of constraint elements into biologically active peptides, the number of degrees of



FIGURE 1. Modification of constraint elements.

conformational freedom and, thus, binding-associated loss of entropy can be decreased.³ The most powerful β -turn mimetics have been derived from the functionally important amino acid proline⁴ when the introduction of suitable constraint elements led to lactam-bridged molecular scaffolds.⁵ Employing both saturated and unsaturated constraint elements leading to Freidinger-type (I)⁶ and dehydro-Freidinger-type (II) spirocycles,⁷ respectively, we were able to establish a molecular building-kit that allows adjustment of a wide range of dihedral angles (Figure 1).

To complement these investigations, we aimed to construct spirocyclic analogues incorporating polar, "backbone-like" constraint elements. Interestingly, such a concept was described for conformationally constrained nucleosides when a barbituric acid moiety was incorporated into a spirocyclic system.⁸

Following the concept of privileged structures, we planned to synthesize and to conformationally evaluate spirobarbiturates of type **III**. Such molecular scaffolds should be available via cyclocondensation reactions as described for the preparation of barbiturate-type drugs.⁹ *NH* acidity of the hydrophilic linker element should allow the introduction of different substituents. These could serve as molecular probes exploring binding pockets of complementary target proteins. We herein present a practical synthesis of model peptide surrogates of type **III**, solid-phase supported application toward two artificial neuropeptide mi-

(8) Renard, A.; Lhomme, J.; Kotera, M. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 1302-1307.

[†] Chair of Medicinal Chemistry.

^{*} Chair of Inorganic Chemistry.

 ⁽a) Ohage, E. C.; GramL, W.; Walter, M. M.; Steinbacher, S.; Steipe, B *Protein Sci.* 1997, 6, 233–241.
(b) Zhou, H. X.; Hoess, R. H.; deGrado, W. F. *Nat. Struct. Biol.* 1996, 3, 446–451.
(c) Venkatachalam, C. M. *Biopolymers* 1968, 6, 1425–1436.
(d) Tyndall, J. D. A.; Pfeiffer, B.; Abbenante, G.; Fairlie, D. P. *Chem. Rev.* 2005, 105, 793–826.

⁽²⁾ For examples, see: (a) Weber, K.; Ohnmacht, U.; Gmeiner, P. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 7406–7416. (b) Thomas, C.; Ohnmacht, U.; Niger, M.; Gmeiner, P. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 1998, 8, 2885–2890. (c) Haubner, R.; Schmitt, W.; Holzemann, G.; Goodman, S. L.; Jonczyk, A.; Kessler, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 7881–7891. (d) Liu, R.; Dong, D. L.-Y.; Sherlock, R.; Nestler, H. P.; Gennari, C.; Mielgo, A.; Scolastico, C. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 1999, 9, 847–852.

⁽³⁾ For recent reviews and articles, see: (a) Cluzeau, J.; Lubell, W. D. Biopolymers (Pept. Sci.) 2005, 80, 98–150. (b) Maison, W.; Prenzel, A. H. G. P. Biopolymers 2005, 7, 1031–1048. (c) Hanessian, S.; McNaughton-Smith, G.; Lombart, H.-G.; Lubell, W. D. Tetrahedron 1997, 53, 12789–12854. (d) Freidinger, R. M. J. Med. Chem. 2003, 46, 5553–5566. (e) Halab, L.; Gosselin, F.; Lubell, W. D. Biopolymers (Pept. Sci.) 2000, 55, 101–122. (f) Hoffmann, T.; Waibel, R.; Gmeiner, P J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 62–69.

⁽⁴⁾ Paul, A.; Bittermann, H.; Gmeiner, P. *Tetrahedron* **2006**, *62*, 8919–8927, and references cited therein.

^{(5) (}a) Belvisi, L.; Colombo, L.; Manzoni, L.; Potenza, D.; Scolastico, C. Synlett 2004, 9, 1449–1471. (b) Fernández, M. M.; Diez, A.; Rubiralta, M.; Montenegro, E.; Casamitjana, N. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 7587–7599. (c) Jeannotte, G.; Lubell, W. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 14334–14335. (d) Wang, W.; Xiong, C.; Hruby, V. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 2001, 42, 3159–3561. (e) Mueller, R.; Revesz, L Tetrahedron Lett. 2001, 35, 4091–4092. (f) Ward, P.; Ewan, G. B.; Jordan, C. C.; Ireland, S. J.; Hagan, R. M.; Brown, J. R J. Med. Chem. 1990, 33, 1848–1851. (g) Hoffmann, T.; Lanig, H.; Waibel, R.; Gmeiner, P. Angew. Chem. 101, 3465–3468. (h) Hoffmann, T.; Lanig, H.; Waibel, R.; Gmeiner, P. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 9102–9113.

^{(6) (}a) Müller, G.; Hessler, G.; Decornez, H. Y. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 894–896. Angew. Chem. 2000, 112, 926–928. (b) Hinds, M. G.; Welsh, J. H.; Brennand, D. M.; Fisher, J.; Glennie, M. J.; Richards, N. G. J.; Turner, D. L.; Robinson, J. A. J. Med. Chem. 1991, 34, 1777–1789. (c) Ward, P.; Ewan, G. B.; Jordan, C. C.; Ireland, S. J.; Hagan, R. M.; Brown, J. R. J. Med. Chem. 1990, 33, 1848–1851. (d) Bittermann, H.; Einsiedel, J.; Hübner, H.; Gmeiner, P. J. Med. Chem. 2004, 47, 5587–5590. (e) Bittermann, H.; Gmeiner, P. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 97–102.

⁽⁷⁾ Bittermann, H.; Boeckler, F.; Einsiedel, J.; Gmeiner, P. Chem. Eur. J. 2006, 24, 6315–6322.

⁽⁹⁾ Fischer, E.; Dilthey, A. Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1904, 335, 334-268.



^{*a*} Key: (a) *t*-BuOK, DMSO, rt, 16 h; (b) CH₃NH₂·HCl, HATU, DBU, NMP, rt, 15 min–3 h; (d) prep HPLC, silica gel, diisopropyl ether/ acetonitrile; Dcb: 2,6-dichlorobenzyl.

metics and structural characterization which was based on both X-ray crystallography and spectroscopic investigations.

The construction of the novel spirocyclic scaffolds was envisioned by a base-promoted cylocondensation reaction of N-carbamoyl-substituted amino acids with a suitably N-protected α -carboxyproline diester (Scheme 1). Starting from N-Bocprotected diethylaminomalonate and 1,3-dibromopropane in the presence of base, the prochiral building block 1^{10} was prepared in 90% yield when the addition of KI significantly increased the efficiency of the cyclization. Our initial attempts to the synthesis of the spirocyclic scaffold including the treatment of the dicarboxylate 1 with N-carbamoyl glycine benzylester and EtONa or t-BuOK failed. This is putatively due to the high energetic demand for the generation of a 1,2-dianion from the initially formed ester enolate. To circumvent this problem, we planned to react diester 1 with free N-carbamoylamino acids in presence of an excess of base, because formation of a carboxylate anion should prevent from deprotonation at C_{α} and facilitate optical integrity in case of chiral amino acid derivatives. To approach to representative building blocks, the urea derivatives $2\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{c}$ were synthesized by N-carbamovlation of glycine, (S)phenylalanine and O-(2,6-dichlorobenzyl)-(S)-tyrosine with KOCN, according to previously reported protocols.¹¹ In fact, deprotonation of the carbamoyl amino acids $2\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{c}$ by 3 equiv of t-BuOK in DMSO and subsequent addition of the pyrrolidine dicarboxylic ester 1 resulted in a 55–71% formation of the spirobarbiturate $3\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{c}$ (Scheme 1). Employing HATU as an activating agent, carboxamide formation could be performed giving access to the *N*-protected Pro-GlyNHMe surrogate $4\mathbf{a}$ in racemic form. Furthermore, the conformatively constrained Pro-Phe-NHMe and Pro-Tyr(ODcb)-NHMe analogues $4\mathbf{b}$ and $4\mathbf{c}$, both as 1:1 mixtures of diastereomers, were obtained. Finally, preparative HPLC gave rise to the isomerically pure model peptide surrogates $4\mathbf{b}1$, $4\mathbf{b}2$, $4\mathbf{c}1$, and $4\mathbf{c}2$.

X-ray diffraction analysis of the model peptide surrogate **4b1** was performed to provide helpful information on both the conformational properties in solid state and the absolute stereochemistry of the spirocyclic center which clearly revealed (*R*)-configuration (Figure 2). Due to reverse CIP priority-based assignments, the disposition of the backbone-forming carboxa-mide function of **4b1** is identical to that of natural (*S*)-proline amide. Based on the X-ray structure of the reference scaffold **4b1**, overall similarities of ¹H NMR data and analogous elution profiles allowed determination of the spirocyclic stereogenic centers of **4c1** and **4c2** to be (*R*) and (*S*), respectively.



FIGURE 2. Thermal ellipsoid plot of the molecular structure of **4b1** (50% probability ellipsoids).

Taking advantage of the NH acidity of our linker elements, we intended to introduce different substituents which can be exploited as biomolecular probes. To facilitate a chemoselective N-alkylation, orthogonal protection of the carboxylic acid function should be first conducted. TCE-esters are known to be resistant toward acidic and basic conditions and can be readily removed by reductive cleavage with zinc dust.12 In detail, EDC/ DMAP promoted esterification of 3a-c with 2,2,2-trichloroethanol afforded the 2,2,2-trichloroethyl (TCE) esters 5a-c. Upon deprotonation of the imides 5a-c by NaH and treatment with methyl iodide, benzyl bromide or (DcbO)-benzyl bromide,¹³ N-alkylation was observed giving access to the functionalized scaffolds 6a-e in 62-85% yield. Zn-mediated TCE deprotection of the representatives 6b-e could be accomplished when we obtained the free carboxylic acid derivatives 7a-d. To approach to the model peptide surrogates of type 8, HATUpromoted peptide coupling and subsequent aminolysis were performed.

Finally, separation of the diastereomers **8a1/8a2** and **8b1/ 8b2** was done by HPLC using normal-phase conditions, followed by an NMR-based configurational assignment using **4b1** as a reference structure.

^{(10) (}a) Galons, H.; Damianos, N.; Miocque, M.; Bram, G. In Second Forum on Peptides; Aubry, A., Marraud, M., Vitoux, B., Eds.; Collogue INSERM/ John Libbey Eurotext Ltd.: Paris, 1989; Vol. 174, pp 281–288. (b) Aboujaoude, E. E.; Collignon, N.; Savignac, P.; Bensoam, J Phosphorus Sulfur 1987, 34, 93–104.

⁽¹¹⁾ Preparation of the urea derivatives according to: (a) Moschel, R. C.; Hudgins, R. W.; Dipple, A. J. Org. Chem. **1986**, 51, 4180–4185. (b) Taillades, J.; Boiteau, L.; Beuzelin, I.; Lagrille, O.; Biron, J.-P.; Vayaboury, W.; Vandenabeele-Trambouze, O.; Giani, O.; Commeyras, A. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 **2001**, 1247, 1254. (c) **2b**: $[\alpha]^{20}_D + 37.8$ (c 1.0, MeOH); $[\alpha]^{20}_D + 39.2$ (c 1.0, MeOH) is reported in: Sarges, R.; Howard, H. R; Donahue, K. M.; Welch, W. M.; Dominy, B. W.; Weissman, A.; Koe, B. K.; Bordners, J. J. Med. Chem **1986**, 29, 8–19. (d) Casimir, J. R.; Tourwé, D.; Iterbeke, K.; Guichard, G J. Org. Chem. **2000**, 65, 6487–6492.

⁽¹²⁾ Jou, G.; Gonzales, F.; Albericio, F.; Lloyd-Williams, P.; Giralt, E. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 354–366.

⁽¹³⁾ Warrellow, G. J.; Porter, J. R.; Archibald, S. C.; Head, J. C. Chem. Abstr. 2000, 132, 265095 WO 2000020396, 2000.

SCHEME 2^{*a*}



^{*a*} Key: (a) 2,2,2-trichloroethanol, EDC, DMAP, CH₂Cl₂, rt, 16 h; (b) CH₃I or 2,6-Cl₂Bn-OBnBr, NaH, DMF, rt; (c) Zn dust, 1 M NH₄OAc, THF, rt, 24 h; (d) CH₃NH₂•HCl, HATU, DBU, NMP, rt, 15 min–3 h; (e) HPLC; Dcb: 2,6-dichlorobenzyl.

To apply our methodology to the synthesis of biologically relevant peptide mimetics, we intended to incorporate our molecular scaffolds of type III into NT(8-13) (H-Arg-Arg-Pro-Tyr-Ile-Leu-OH), the active portion of the neuropeptide neurotensin.¹⁴ Since the Pro-Tyr fragment is known to be of special importance for receptor recognition, introduction of the structural congeners 3c and 7a should give access to valuable molecular probes of type 9 and 10, respectively (Scheme 3). Thus, Fmocisoleucine was coupled using PYBOP as an activating reagent to N-deprotected Leu immobilized on Wang resin. Microwave acceleration proved to be advantageous for both Fmoc-deprotection of the resin and acylation. Subsequently, μ -wave assisted coupling of the building blocks 3c and 7a was performed when a second acylation with HATU was necessary to complete the ligation of 3c. Cleavage of the Boc function was done according to a previously published protocol employing 10% sulfuric acid in dioxan at 8 °C, thus preventing liberation of the peptide from the solid support.¹⁵ Since the pyrrolidine nitrogen of the spirobarbiturate system was not susceptible to acylation reactions with common peptide coupling reagents, we employed the recently introduced BTC (bis-trichloromethylcarbonate),16 which proved successful for the coupling of Fmoc(Pbf)-arginine to the sterically hindered amine function. After a further deprotection SCHEME 3^a



^{*a*} Key: (a) Fmoc-Leu-Wang resin, piperidine/DMF (1:4), μ : 5 × 5 s, 100W, 5× cooling to -10 °C; (b) Fmoc-Ile-OH, PyBOP, DIPEA, HOBt, DMF, μ : 15 × 10 s, 50 W, 15× cooling to -10 °C; (c) (1) Fmoc deprotection (see a), (2) **3c** or **7a**, conditions see (b) for **3c**: reagents for a 2nd μ -assisted coupling: HATU, DIPEA, DMF; (d) H₂SO₄/dioxane (1:9), 8 °C, 2 h; (e) Fmoc-Arg(Pbf)-OH, BTC, 2,6-lutidine, rt, 1 h; (f) (1) Fmoc deprotection (see a), (2) coupling of Fmoc-Arg(Pbf)-OH (see b), (3) Fmoc deprotection (see a); (g) TFA, phenol, H₂O, triisopropylsilane 88:5:5:2, 2 h; (h) RP-HPLC; (i) H₂, 20% Pd(OH)₂/C, MeOH; (j) RP-HPLC.

and coupling with Fmoc(Pbf)-arginine, TFA-promoted cleavage, preparative HPLC and palladium-catalyzed hydrogenolysis of the 2,6-dichlorobenzyl group, the target peptides **9** and **10** were isolated in isomerically pure form.

Employing X-ray crystallographic data, we clearly identified a type II β -turn structure for the model peptide scaffold **4b1** (Figure 3) when an intramolecular hydrogen bond with a distance of 2.21 Å¹⁷ could be unambiguously deduced between the methyl amide $N-H_{i+3}$ and the C=O function in position *i*. The backbone dihedral angles of proline $(\phi_{i+1}: -61.1^{\circ}/\Psi_{i+1}:$ 137.7°) and phenylalanine (ϕ_{i+2} : 65.9°/ Ψ_{i+2} : 17.4°) were deviating by less than 30° ¹⁸ from the canonical angles of -60°, 120° , 80° , and 0° , respectively, thus correlating well with the ideal form of a type II β -turn.¹⁹ The distance from the *tert*butoxy oxygen and the methylamide carbon ($d O_i - C_{i+3}$) representing the distance between $C\alpha_i$ and $C\alpha_{i+3}$, respectively) was found substantially shorter than 7 Å (5.07 Å), indicating that a U-turn is adopted. Additionally, the low pseudodihedral angle β (C_i-C α_{i+1} -C α_{i+2} -N_{i+3}) of 9.3° revealed an almost coplanar spatial arrangement and, thus, antiparallel pleated β -sheet nucleating properties.



FIGURE 3. Geometric parameters of 4b1.

To evaluate the structural behavior of the model peptide mimetic **4b1** in solution, we performed conformational studies based on FT-IR and ¹H NMR spectroscopy. To exclude intermolecular interactions, spectra were recorded at 2 mM concentrations.²⁰¹H NMR spectra of **4b1** showed two peaks for

(20) Boussard, G.; Marraud, M J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 1825-1828.

⁽¹⁴⁾ Hong, F.; Cusack, B.; Fauq, A.; Richelson, E. Curr. Med. Chem. 1997, 4, 412–434.

⁽¹⁵⁾ Trivedi, H. S.; Anson, M.; Steel, P. G.; Worley, J. Synlett 2001, 12, 1932–1934.

⁽¹⁶⁾ Falb, E.; Yechezkel, T.; Salitra, Y.; Gilon, C. J. Peptide Res. 1999, 53, 507–517.

⁽¹⁷⁾ Belvisi, L.; Gennari, G.; Mielgo, A.; Potenza, D.; Scolastico, C. Eur. J. Org. Chem. **1999**, 38, 9–400.

⁽¹⁸⁾ Lewis, P. N.; Momany, F. A.; Scheraga, H. A. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1973, 303, 249–255.

⁽¹⁹⁾ Ball, J. B.; Hughes, R. A.; Alewood, P. F.; Andrews, P. R. *Tetrahedron* **1993**, *49*, 3467–3478.

amide *NH*, a major peak at 6.52 ppm (95%) and a minor peak at 5.87 ppm (5%) indicating the presence of two conformers. Temperature dependent chemical shifts as a measure for the stability of secondary structures were studied between 273 and 313 K in CDCl₃ recorded in 10 K steps, revealing a low $\Delta\delta\Delta T$ value of -3.1 ppb/K for the *NH* of the major conformer. IR spectra of **4b1** (CHCl₃) displayed *NH* stretching vibrations at 3381 cm⁻¹ and a very weak shoulder above 3450 cm⁻¹. Thus, we concluded, that an intramolecular hydrogen bond is strongly favored. The above-mentioned diagnostic values for the spirobarbiturates **4a,b2,c1/2** and **8a1/2,b1/2** (δ (NH): 6.41–6.56 ppm; ν (NH): 3380–3402 cm⁻¹; $\Delta\delta\Delta T$: (-4.8)-(-2.4) ppb/K) were very similar to the data measured for reference peptide surrogate **4b1** clearly indicating the preferred formation of type II β -turn secondary structures for all compounds investigated.

In conclusion, an efficient methodology was established that gives access to a novel type of β -turn nucleating scaffolds incorporating polar, "backbone-like" constraint elements.

Experimental Section

General Method for the Synthesis of the Barbiturate Scaffolds 3a-c. To a solution of *t*-BuOK in DMSO (0.5 mmol/ mL) was added the respective urea (2a-c) dissolved in DMSO (0.15 mmol/mL) at 10 °C. After 10 min of stirring, a solution of pyrrolidine-1,2,2-tricarboxylic acid 1-*tert*-butyl ester 2,2-diethyl ester (1) in DMSO (0.3 mmol/mL) was added. The reaction mixture stirred at rt for 20 h, and then 5% aq citric acid was added and extraction with EtOAc was performed. After the organic layer was washed with H₂O and brine and dried with MgSO₄, the solvent was evaporated and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography.

General Method for the Synthesis of the Methyl Amides 4a-c and 8a,b. A solution of the carboxylic acid, methylamine hydrochloride, and HATU in NMP (2 mL) was stirred for 10 min at rt, and then a solution of DBU in NMP (2 mL) was added dropwise. After 15 min–3 h, 5% citric acid was added, and extraction with EtOAc was performed. The organic layer was washed with H₂O and brine, dried over MgSO₄, and evaporated. The product was purified by flash column chromatography.

General Method for the Synthesis of 2,2,2-Trichloroethyl Ester Derivatives 5a-c. To a stirred solution of the respective carboxylic acid, 2,2,2-trichloroethanol, and DMAP in CH₂Cl₂ was added EDC at 0 °C. After 1 h, the solution was allowed to stir at rt for 18 h. Thereafter, the solvent was removed in vacuo, H₂O was added, and a pH \approx 7 was adjusted by the addition of 5% citric acid. After extraction with EtOAc, the combined organic layer was washed with H₂O and brine and dried over MgSO₄. The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the product was purified by flash column chromatography.

General Method for the *N*-Alkylation of the Barbiturate Scaffolds to Give 6a–e. An oily suspension of NaH (60%) was washed $2\times$ with dry hexane, and then DMF (4 mL) was added and the mixture cooled to 0 °C. A solution of the corresponding barbiturate derivative in DMF (3–10 mL) was added dropwise. After the development of H₂, a solution of the respective alkyl halide in DMF (3 mL) was added, and the reaction mixture was allowed to stir at rt for 16 h. Thereafter, 5% citric acid was added, and extraction with ethylacetate (3 ×) was performed. The organic layer was washed with H₂O and brine, dried over MgSO₄, and evaporated, and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography.

General Method for the Reductive Ester Cleavage To Give 7a–d. To a solution of the respective 2,2,2-trichloroethyl ester in THF was added zinc dust and then an aqueous 1 M NH₄OAc solution. After vigorous stirring for 24 h at rt, the mixture was filtered through Celite and washed with THF. Subsequently, the solvent was removed in vacuo, and the residue was partitioned between 5% citric acid and EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with H₂O and brine and dried over MgSO₄, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography.

Acknowledgment. We thank I. Torres-Berger, M. Kettler, and Dr. R. Waibel for technical assistance and helpful discussions, respectively. This work was supported by the DFG (Gm 13/7).

Supporting Information Available: Experimental and analytical data (for compounds 1, 2c, and 3–10 including epimerization study of 3b,c, HPLC data and conformational analysis data for 4a,b1/b2,c and 8a1/2,b1/2 as well as details of the X-ray crystal structure determination of 4b1 in CIF format. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http:// pubs.acs.org. CCDC-668906 contain the supplementary crystal lographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.

JO702573Z